

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bot 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/701,622	06/19/2001	Coenraad Jan Spaans	POLYGANICS-1	8926	
7265	7590 10/10/2003		EXAMINER		
MICHAELSON AND WALLACE PARKWAY 109 OFFICE CENTER			GORR, RA	GORR, RACHEL F	
	328 NEWMAN SPRINGS RD			PAPER NUMBER	
POBOX8	P O BOX 8489			1711	
RED BANK, NJ 07701			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/701,622	SPAANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	September 2003					
	s action is non-final.					
, 						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 67,69,70,79,80 and 86-101 is/are per	nding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67,69,70,79,80 and 86</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>87-100</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>101</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		* **				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						
l.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/701,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. In the applicant's response, the list of claims indicates that claims 56, 58-60 and 83 are withdrawn. However, claims 56 and 58-60 were cancelled in the response of 2-29-03, and claim 83 was cancelled in the latest response. In the next response, these errors should be corrected in the list of claims.

- 2. Claims 91 and 97 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claim 91 reads on chain extenders not included in the preceding claim, and claim 97 reads on other polyols, whereas claim 87 is limited to polyester polyols. It also doesn't appear that the other polyols have support in the disclosure.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 87, 89, 94 and 97-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quay.

See Paper no. 7, paragraph 12.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,622

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

6. Claims 87-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de Groot (New Biomedical...) in view of Cohn, de Groot (Use of Porous...) and Gogolewski.

See Paper No. 10, paragraphs 9-13.

- 7. Claim 101 has been objected to for depending on rejected claims.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 703-308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

R.G. Oct. 6, 2003

RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY FXAMINER